

Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies (DGMC) RSET Campus, S. V. Road, Malad (w), Mumbai 400 064, Maharashtra, India

Criterion 6 - Governance, Leadership and Management. 6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies

6.3.5 Institutions Perfomance Appraisal System for Teaching and Non-Teaching staff

Sr. No.	Content	Link	
1. Teaching staff		folder from desktop	
2.	Non teaching staff	folder from desktop	



Dora



Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies (DGMC) RSET Campus, S. V. Road, Malad (w), Mumbai 400 064, Maharashtra, India

• Teaching staff

The performance appraisal system at DGMC is designed to evaluate and enhance the effectiveness of teaching staff through a comprehensive and structured approach. This system involves multiple assessment methods, including self-evaluations, evaluation by the principal and student feedback, ensuring a well-rounded evaluation.

The appraisal criterias are:

Continuous Monitoring: Throughout the year, faculty performance is monitored through classroom observations and feedback mechanisms, allowing for ongoing support and development.

Professional Development: Based on appraisal outcomes, faculty are encouraged to pursue targeted professional development opportunities to enhance their skills.

Final Evaluation: At the end of the academic year, a comprehensive appraisal is conducted, combining insights from various sources. This evaluation assesses teaching effectiveness, student engagement, and contributions to departmental initiatives.

Goal Setting: At the start of each academic year, teachers work with department heads to set clear and achievable goals that align with the school's objectives.





Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies (DGMC) RSET Campus, S. V. Road, Malad (w), Mumbai 400 064, Maharashtra, India

• Non teaching staff

The performance appraisal system for non-teaching staff at DGMC emphasizes the importance of interpersonal skills and personal development in enhancing workplace effectiveness. This system aims to foster a supportive environment that encourages collaboration and professional growth. Few of the key criteria such as punctuality, skills, work ethic, and problem-solving abilities. This structured approach aims to enhance both individual performance and the overall effectiveness of the team.



hora

Rajasthani Sammelan Education Trust DEVIPRASAD GOENKA MANAGEMENT COLLEGE OF MEDIA STUDIES

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

For SELF APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS

Academic Year: _____

Name of the Teacher / Employee	
Designation	
Objectives of the work given the year	
Notable work completed during the period	with reference to objectives :

Date :

Name of the Teacher & Signature

Do you agree with the opinion of the Teacher / Employee	
If not, give reason :	

Place : Mumbai

Date :

Stamp & Signature of Head of the Institution

Rajasthani Sammelan Education Trust DEVIPRASAD GOENKA MANAGEMENT COLLEGE OF MEDIA STUDIES

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

For SELF APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS

Estimate of General Ability and Character of Grade "A" to Grade "C" Officers/Employees : Name of the Lecturer From 01-06-2019 to 31-04-2020 Period of Report Post /s held Industry & Application Very Good Outstanding Good Below Average Average Capacity to get work done by Outstanding Very Good Below Average Good Subordinates **Relations with Colleagues** Indifferent Co-operative Courteous Helpful Unfriendly and Public **General Intelligence** Very Brilliant Brilliant Intelligent Dull Average Outstanding Administrative ability including Very Good Positively Good **Below Average** Judgment initiative and Drive Technical Professional ability (Where Relevant) Special Attitude Integrity & Character Whether powers delegated Yes Partly No are Fitness for Promotion Fit for Normal Course Unfit Fit for Accelerated subordinates Areas of Training required State of Health Not Good Good Very Good Fitness for field work Yes Not relevant No Willingness to work on Yes Not Seen No Computer

A Very Good

A+ Outstanding

Place : Mumbai

Date :

Name & Signature of the Teacher

B+ Positively Good

Remarks by Principal -

General Assessment

Signature of the Principal

Average

SELF – ASSESSMENT FORM FOR COLLEGE LECTURER

Year of Assessment : 2019-20

1	Basic Information :								
	a) Name	of the Colleg	Rajasthani Sa	Rajasthani Sammelan Education Trust					
				Deviprasad C	Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media				
				Studies,					
				RSET Comple	ex, S.V	.Road, M	Ialad West,		
	MUMBAI – 400064								
	b) Region	n in which sit	uated	Urban / Rural					
	/	of the Lectur							
	(Begin	ning with Su	rname)						
	d) Qualif	ications of the	e Lecturer :						
De	gree & Po	ost Special /	Principal	Allied /Addi	tional	Class	Year of	of University	
Gr	aduate	Subject (Offered	Subordinate Su	bjects	Obtaine	d Passing		
De	gree Exam			Offered					
	e) Subjec	t taught and f	faculty						
	f) Design	nation							
	g) Date o	of Birth							
	h) Date o	f Joining of t	he College						
<u> </u>									
		ing Experien	nce at the						
۲ ۲	Vame of	ge Level	f Comico	Designation	Cla		Subject/c	Scale of	
		From	f Service To	Designation		sses	Subject/s	Scale of Pow	
	e previous stitution/s	From	10		tau	ght	taught	Pay	
1113	sitution/s								
<u> </u>									
<u> </u>									

2. Courses taught and work load indicating also the norms, standards, targets etc. prescribed if any :

Number of period per week	Actual number of periods per weeks :
As per prescribed norms	

Lecturers/Tutorials/ Practical subject and paper taught -

(a) Under Graduate	
(b) Post Graduate	
(c) Other	

3. Teaching methods applied : (Name and describe new teaching methods used, if any) (Besides lecture method i.e.)

a)	Distributing lecture, synopsis and	
	biography	
b)	Encouraging questions in the class	
c)	Announcing topics for discussion	
	in advance	
d)	Holding seminars	
e)	Use of Audio Visuals Aids	
	(wherever facilities exist)	

4. Contribution to COSIP and COHSSIP Scheme, if it exists in the college or through ULP (where such scheme exists)

.....

5. Any other contribution in –

a)	Teaching methods	
b)	Evaluation Techniques	
c)	Course Development etc	

6. Academic and Professional Growth (During the year) :

readenne and Professional Growth (During the year).					
Research Qualification acquired					
Research projects undertaken					
Research papers published indicating					
titles and names of journals in which					
published					
Guidance rendered to Research					
Scholar					
Participation in Seminars,					
Workshops, Conference during the					
year					
Participation in Orientation					
Programmes, Refresher Courses etc.					
Faculty improvement Programme					
Any other type of training					
	Research Qualification acquired Research projects undertaken Research papers published indicating titles and names of journals in which published Guidance rendered to Research Scholar Participation in Seminars, Workshops, Conference during the year Participation in Orientation Programmes, Refresher Courses etc. Faculty improvement Programme				

7. Participation in Extra Mural Activities :

Γ	a)	Extra Curricular Activities, Debates,
		Cultural Activities, Counselling to
		students, planning Forum, Union,
		NSS, NCC, DLEE etc.
-	b)	Service to Community Adult
		Education, Extension service etc.

8. Help in College Administration of various committees such as Discipline committee, Admission Committee, etc. :

.....

9. Any other information about the contribution (not conveyed above) relevant to a proper assessment of activities :

·····

10. General Observations :

0011					
a)	Attendance				
	a) Regularity				
	b) Punctuality				
b)	Students – Teacher Relationship				
c)	College Relationship				
d)	Class Control				
e)	Reading habits and other matters				
f)	Your own assessment of your				
	performance for the year under report in				
	regard to				
	(i) the quality and quantity of work done				
	and how it compared with the prescribed				
	norms, standards or targets				
	(ii) guidance, training, controlling classes				
g)	Details of any specific item (s) of work				
	done by you think specially noteworthy				
h)	If, in your opinion you were unable to ma				
	in performance in any respect indicate brie	efly your reasons why this happened :			

EVALUATION BY THE PRINCIPAL

- a) State whether the facts stated above are correct ? If not state the correct facts.
- b) Do you agree with the self-assessment of his/her performance done by the officer? If not, give reasons why you do not agree.

Actual Verification			Evaluation			
Sr.	Correct	Exaggerated	Excellent	Very	Average	Poor
				Good		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						

• Evaluation is not expected in column 1 & 2.

Observation of the Principal :

Date :

Signature of the Principal



Deviprasad Goenka management college of media studies INDIA'S PREMIER MEDIA SCHOOL

Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies (DGMC) RSET Campus, S. V. Road, Malad (w), Mumbai 400 064, Maharashtra, India



ma INDIA'S PRID liege o Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies S.V.Road, Malad (West), Mumbai – 400 064.

APPRAISAL FORM

Name of Institute:	Date of Appraisal:	
Staff Name: /	Department:	
Teaching Non-Teaching Staff	Position:	
Date of Joining:	Location:	

TO BE FILLED BY THE REPORTING OFFICER:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: Please tick mark $< \checkmark >$ for all the following columns.

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Total
1	Quality of Work						
2	Time Management						
3	Communication Skills						
4	IT/Equipment / Machinery Skills	;					
5	Initiative & Flexibility						
6	Problem Solving & Decision Making						
7	Personal Grooming and Appearance			eta sen a Obr	, en dons B	•	
8	Integrity			and the second second		A SHORE STOL	
9	Hard-working						and the second
10	Task Orientation		12102	Steely			
11	Dependability			and the second		al al al al al	11111
12	Relation with Colleagues & Team Members						



Down



Deviprasad Goenka Management College of Media Studies (DGMC) RSET Campus, S. V. Road, Malad (w), Mumbai 400 064, Maharashtra, India

				L SCORE = (
		PERF	ORI	MANCE RATI	NG	SCALE FROM	157	TO 1:		
Scale	5	Excellent	4	Very Good	3	Good	2	Average	1	Poor
		Above Expectations		Meets all Expectations	3	Partially meets Expectations	2	Below Expectatio ns		Unable to meet expectations
	0									
FOOTN	OTI	E: RATINGS								
Scale				Range	-					
Excellen	t			5 - 4.1						
Very Go				4 - 3.1						
Good				3-2.5						
0000	_									
Average				2.4 - 2						
Average Poor Achiever		ts, if any: s & Recommer	ndat	Less than 2						
Average Poor Achiever	tions	s & Recommen	ndat	Less than 2 tions, if any:						
Average Poor Achiever Observat	tions	s & Recommen	nda	Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig				19.550.50		
Average Poor Achiever	tions	s & Recommen	nda	Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig		Ire:		19.550.50	Offic	 cer:
Average Poor Achiever Observat Date: Place:	tions	s & Recommer		Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig	me 8	& Designation	of th	e Reporting		
Average Poor Achiever Observat Date: Place:	tions	s & Recommer		Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig Na	me 8	& Designation	of th	e Reporting		
Average Poor Achiever Observat Date: Place:	tions	s & Recommer		Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig Na ent given above	me 8	& Designation of been been been been been been been bee	of th	e Reporting		
Average Poor Achiever Observat Date: Place: Do you ag	ree	s & Recommen		Less than 2 tions, if any: Sig Na ent given above	me 8 e? O	& Designation of been been been been been been been bee	of th Con	nments, if an	ıy:	



Dora